MCKOOL SMITH A Professional Corporation • Attorneys New York, N.Y.	1	MCKOOL SMITH PC Gayle Rosenstein Klein State Bar No. 237975 399 Park Avenue, Suite 3200 New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 402-9400 Facsimile: (212) 402-9444	
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5	Email: gklein@mckoolsmith.com	
	6	Attorneys for SPECIALLY APPEARING DEFENDANT Wi-LAN Inc.	
	7	DEFENDANT WI-LAN Inc.	
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
	10	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
	11		
	12	MARVELL SEMICONDUCTOR INC., ET AL.,	Case No. C 08-CV-05544 (JW)
	13	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION FINDING AS MOOT
	14	V.	TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
	15	WI-LAN INC.,	
	16	Defendant.	
	17	Defendant.	
	18		
	19	Subject to its special appearance, specially appearing defendant Wi-LAN Inc., by and	
	20	through its counsel, requests that defendant's time to answer or otherwise plead to the complaint	
	21	be extended until a date ten (10) days after this Court's ruling on Wi-LAN Inc.'s Motion to	
	22	Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, Subject Matter Jurisdiction and Improper Venue, and	
	23	Motion to Transfer to First Filed Forum, filed January 15, 2009, in a related declaratory	
	24	judgment action styled <i>Intel Corp. v Wi-LAN Inc.</i> et al, 5:08-cv-4555 (N.D. Cal.). Defendant's	
	25	time to respond to the complaint in this action was previously extended from January 26, 2009,	

to February 25, 2009, by Order dated February 9, 2009 (DI 10). Plaintiffs Marvell

Semiconductor, Inc. and Marvell Asia Pte., Ltd. do not oppose defendant's request.

28

26

27

## MCKOOL SMITH A Professional Corporation • Attorneys New York, N. Y.

This stipulated request is made on the grounds that the parties wish to avoid duplicative motion practice. The Court's anticipated ruling in the related *Intel* case will give the parties substantial guidance as to how to proceed in the instant action. Accordingly, it is in the interest of private and judicial economy to extend the time for Wi-LAN Inc. to answer or otherwise plead until a date ten (10) days after this Court's ruling in *Intel Corp. v. Wi-LAN Inc. et al.*So stipulated,

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. C 08-CV-05544 JW

- 2 -

- 3 -

Case No. C 08-CV-05544 JW

## **IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED:** The Court's Order on February 20, 2009 STAYED all deadlines in ALL related Cases. The Stipulation is found a MOOT. February 20 By: Dated: Court MCKOOL SMITH A Professional Corporation - Attorneys New York, N. Y. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT Case No. C 08-CV-05544 JW - 4 -

## MCKOOL SMITH A Professional Corporation • Attorneys New York, N.Y.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 19, 2009, a true and correct copy of the foregoing STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO AMENDED COMPLAINT was filed electronically with the Clerk of the Court using CM/ECF System. Notice of this filing will be sent by operation of the Court's electronic filing system to all parties indicated on the electronic filing receipt. Parties may access this filing through the Court's electronic filing system.

By: /s/ Michael G. McManus
Michael G. McManus